

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATIS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tridemark Office Address Commissioners of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 30231 www.unploager

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/973,180	10/10/2001	Cock M. van Duijn	24584	4477
20529	7590 11/22/2002			
NATH & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
1030 15th STREET 6TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005			GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE	
			L POULD OF T	DADED MARKET

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/973 180 DUILIN ET AL Office Action Summary Fyaminer Art Unit Jeanine A Goldberg 1634 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned natent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/973,180

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-2, 6-7, 27-29, drawn to nucleic acids and oligonucleotides, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, 24.3, for example.
 - Claims 3-5, drawn to methods of diagnosing a patient as having an increased risk of developing HH disease, classified in class 435, subclass
 6.
 - III. Claims 8-26, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- A) The inventions of Groups I and II are patentably distinct products because the DNA of Group I and the protein of Group II have different structures, properties and functions. The DNA of Group I is composed of nucleotides linked in phospodiester bonds and arranged in space as a double helix. The DNA can function not only for the expression of the protein but also as a probe in a nucleic acid hybridization assay and in a nucleic acid amplification assay, for example. In contrast, the polypeptide of Group II is composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a number of different tertiary structures including alpha helices, beta-pleated sheets, and hydrophobic loops (transmembrane domain). The polypeptide can function not only as a receptor but also for the generation of polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies and for the affinity purification of those antibodies or of ligands for the receptor.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,180

Art Unit: 1634

- B) Group II and III are patentable distinct inventions because the polypeptide of Group III is not relied upon in the method of Group II. Instead Group II uses a nucleic acid detection method. Therefore, the inventions are novel and unobvious over one another.
- C) Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid may be used in a materially different method such as purification methods, aptamer screening methods, hybridization assays, antisense methods.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have
 acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their
 divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1634

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (703) 306-5817. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jeanine Goldberg November 19, 2002

W. Gary Jones
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600